EC933-G-AU – Lecture 6 23 November 2005

Aggregate (Ad-Hoc) Monetary Models of Exchange Rates under Rational Expectations

> Alexander Mihailov University of Essex

Plan of talk

- introduction
- 1. the **rational expectations** revolution
- 2. flexible-price models under *perfect foresight*
- 3. sticky-price models under *perfect foresight*: Dornbusch (1976)
 - *motivation* for the model
 - key elements and *assumptions*
 - model *equilibrium* and *transition paths*
 - key result: model versions *with* and *without* **exchange-rate overshooting**
- wrap-up

Aim and learning outcomes

• **aim:** understand the mechanics of *exchange-rate overshooting* in one of the most influential models in international macroeconomics

learning outcomes

- distinguish and discuss
 - rational expectations and perfect foresight
 - *flexible*-price vs *sticky*-price monetary models of exchange rate dynamics
- derive and interpret
 - Dornbusch (1976) model *equilibrium* and *transition paths*
 - effects of monetary expansion in Dornbusch (1976) model
 - when NER overshooting *always occurs*
 - when NER overshooting does not necessarily occur
- analyse the basic set-up of *ad-hoc dynamic monetary* OEMs

The rational expectations revolution

• Muth (1961) on rational expectations

- "Rational Expectations and the Theory of Price Movements", *Econometrica* 29 (3, July)
- introduces (to the economics profession)
 - the *concept* of **rational expectations (RE)**
 - and the (minimum) necessary mathematics to implement it
- **RE** imply that
 - agents *forecast* in a way that is **internally consistent with the model** *generating the variable(s)* whose future behaviour they try to predict
 - agents are **rational** in the sense that they
 - incorporate **all available** *relevant* **information** (in their *information set*)
 - and **do not make** systematic errors in their predictions

• the "rational expectations revolution" (in economics)

Flexible-price models under perfect foresight

• Cagan (1956) on hyperinflation

- "The Monetary Dynamics of Hyperinflation", in Friedman, Milton (ed.), Studies in the Quantity Theory of Money, Chicago University Press
- empirical *closed*-economy model to study hyperinflation in 7 countries
- argued that during a hyperinflation expected inflation swamps all other influences on money demand => specified the MDF in a simple way
 - real money balances depend *only* on expected inflation
 - and not on real income and interest rates as in the conventional (IS-LM) MDF
- adaptive forecasting: expected future inflation depends on *lagged* inflation
- the (*flexible* NER) **monetary model** of lecture 3 ⇔ Cagan model:
 - extended to open economy
 - with the *conventional* MDF
 - assuming *perfect foresight* (as an *extreme* form of rational expectations)
 - and underpinned with some *basic* (but *ad-hoc*) macro-*theory*
- to read **more:** Obstfeld and Rogoff (1996), chapter 8

Sticky-price models under perfect foresight

- **Dornbusch (1976):** perhaps the *best known OEM example*
 - "Expectations and Exchange Rate Dynamics", *Journal of Political Economy* 84 (December)
 - extension of the (Keynesian) static Mundell-Fleming model we studied in lecture 2 to a dynamic setting under perfect foresight
 - very influential model => Mundell-Fleming-Dornbusch tradition/paradigm
 - among *academic circles* involved with open-economy macro
 - among *policy makers* at national and international institutions
 - summary of technical *approach*
 - *ad-hoc* (not optimising!) model, *assuming* explicit functional forms (not deriving them from microfoundations!)
 - written in *continuous* time (but can be "translated" to a *discrete* version: see Obstfeld-Rogoff textbook, section 9.2)
 - all variables (except interest rates) in *logarithms*: $z \equiv \ln Z$ for any Z

Dornbusch (1976): motivation for model

• *stylised facts* to explain

- observed large exchange rate volatility after the *demise* of Bretton-Woods
 - much higher than that of *underlying fundamentals*
 - needed to be *consistent with rational expectations formation*

- effects of a monetary expansion

- in the *short run*, an immediate domestic currency **depreciation** => monetary expansion seems to account for *fluctuations* in the exchange rate and ToT
- during the *adjustment* process, rising prices may be accompanied by an appreciation => the *trend* behaviour of exchange rates and the *cyclical* behaviour of exchange rates and prices stand potentially in contrast
- during the *adjustment* process, there is also a direct effect of the exchange rate on domestic **inflation** => the exchange rate as a critical channel for the *transmission* of monetary policy to AD for domestic output

- differential speed of adjustment of *asset* vs *goods* markets

which was becoming an interesting novel topic for research

Dornbusch (1976): general assumptions

1. SOE =>

- the world (nominal) *interest rate* is given in the world *asset* market *i**
- the world *price of* SOE's *imports* is given in the world *goods* market p^*
- 2. *domestic output* is an *imperfect* substitute for *imports*
 - => demand for H (SOE) and F (RoW) output will be affected by their relative price, $s + p p^*$ in logs (from PPP, SP/P^* , in levels)
- 3. (NB!) differential adjustment speed of markets
 - goods markets adjust slow relative to
 - asset (including exchange rate) markets, which adjust instantaneously
- 4. (NB!) consistent expectations (formation)
 - formation of (rational) expectations is *consistent*
 - with *perfect foresight*, i.e., the strongest, *extreme* form of RE

Dornbusch (1976): assumptions on *capital mobility* and *NER expectations formation*

1. perfect capital mobility

- assets denominated in domestic and foreign currency are *perfect* substitutes given a proper *premium/discount* to offset anticipated NER changes
- equivalently, this is (a form of) UIP: $\iota = \iota^* + x$
- 2. exchange-rate *expectations* formation
 - expected depreciation, x > 0, of current spot NER, *s*, is assumed proportional (via a coefficient θ) to its discrepancy w.r.t. long-run NER, $\overline{s} = const$ (for now taken as *known*, but later an expression which determines it will be developed): $x = \theta(\overline{s} s)$
 - Dornbusch (1976) makes the point that
 - while expectations formation, as assumed, *may appear ad hoc*
 - it will be *consistent with perfect foresight* (to be shown further down)

Dornbusch (1976): assumptions on *money market* structure and equilibrium

- *1. conventional* money demand function ⇔ a *monetary* model
- 2. money supply /stock/ *exogenous*(ly given, by SOE's CnBk)
- 3. (NB!) real income (or **output**, or AS) is *fixed* at its fullemployment level, y (initially \leftrightarrow *variable* output later)
- 4. money market *equilibrium*: $m^s = m^d = m$

=> money demand can be written as $m - p = -\lambda \iota + \phi y \Leftrightarrow \iota = -\frac{1}{\lambda}(m - p) + \frac{\phi}{\lambda}y$ => *relationship* linking spot and LR NER with price level

$$p-m=-\phi y+\lambda \iota^*+\lambda \theta(\overline{s}-s)$$

5. *stationary* money supply process: *long-run* equilibrium would imply $s = \overline{s}$ and hence, through *UIP* $\iota = \iota^* =>$ an expression for the *long-run* equilibrium price level $\overline{p} = m - \phi y + \lambda \iota^*$ Dornbusch (1976): key equation on the relationship b/n the NER and the price level

$$s = \overline{s} - \frac{1}{\lambda \theta} (p - \overline{p})$$

• solving it for *p* determines *asset* market equilibrium, to be *graphically* represented later as the *QQ* schedule:

$$p = \overline{p} - \lambda \theta (s - \overline{s}) = \overline{p} - \lambda \theta s + \lambda \theta \overline{s}$$

- **interpretation** of key equation: for *given* LR values \overline{s} and \overline{p} , the spot NER *s* can be determined as a f-n of the current price level *p*
 - given the price level p, we have a domestic interest rate 1 from MDF and an interest rate differential $1 1^*$ from UIP determined endogenously
 - given also the LR NER \overline{s} , from expected depreciation eq. there is a unique level of the spot NER s such that expected depreciation x matches the interest differential $l l^*$
 - so $p\uparrow =>$ (from MDF) $_{l}\uparrow =>$ an incipient capital *inflow* => (from UIP and expected depreciation eq.) $_{s}\downarrow$ (appreciation) **to the point where** the *anticipated depreciation* x *offsets exactly* the increase in $_{l}$

Dornbusch (1976): assumptions on *goods market* structure and equilibrium

- 1. the *foreign* price level *normalised* $p^* \equiv \ln P^* = \ln 1 = 0$ so that the **relative price** of domestic goods $s + p^* - p$ becomes s - p
- 2. a special, *ad-hoc demand* f-n for domestic output

$$\ln D = u + \delta(s - p) + \gamma y - \sigma \iota$$

3. a special, *ad-hoc* **f-n for the** *rate of increase* **of the** *price* **of domestic goods**: *proportional* to an *excess* **demand** measure

$$\dot{p} = \pi \ln \frac{D}{Y} = \pi \left(\ln D - \underbrace{\ln Y}_{\equiv v} \right) = \pi \left[u + \delta(s - p) + (\gamma - 1)y - \sigma i \right]$$

4. with the relevant **LR** assumptions $\dot{p}=0$ and $\iota = \iota^*$ and further substituting for $\iota = \iota^*$ above from MDF, *solving* for *s* yields the **LR equilibrium NER**: $\overline{s} = \overline{p} + \frac{1}{\delta} [\sigma \iota^* + (1 - \gamma)y - u]$

Dornbusch (1976): price level and NER *dynamics*

now the earlier price adjustment eq. can be simplified using the LR NER to substitute in it as well as UIP and expected depreciation eq. to express x = ι - ι* = θ(s - s) =>

$$\dot{p} = -\pi \left(\frac{\delta + \sigma \theta}{\theta \lambda} + \delta \right) (p - \overline{p}) = -\nu (p - \overline{p}) \qquad \qquad \nu \equiv \pi \left(\frac{\delta + \sigma \theta}{\theta \lambda} + \delta \right)$$

- recall that a 1st-order linear homogeneous differential eq. of some f-n of time z(t), $\frac{dz}{dt} + cz = 0$, has a (definite) s-n of the form $z(t) = z(0)e^{-ct}$
- solving, by analogy, the price eq. above yields $p(t) = \overline{p} + (p_0 \overline{p})e^{-vt}$
- substituting in key NER eq.: $s(t) = \overline{s} \frac{1}{\lambda\theta}(p_0 \overline{p})e^{-\nu t} = \overline{s} + (s_0 \overline{s})e^{-\nu t}$
- interpretation
 - the spot NER *will converge* to its LR level
 - NER will appreciate if prices are initially below their LR level, and conversely

Dornbusch (1976): graphical analysis of model equilibrium and transition paths

- Figure 1, p. 1166, in the original paper to be discussed in class
- the **QQ schedule** describes **asset** (here also *money*) market *equilibrium* and has a *negative* slope (as can be checked earlier), so it must intersect the 45⁰-line
- the $\dot{p}=0$ schedule shows all combinations of price levels and exchange rates for which the goods market and the money market *clear*: can be derived from the \dot{p} eq. with $\dot{p}=0$ and the MDF:

$$\underbrace{0}_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-p) + (\gamma-1)y - \sigma \right]_{=\dot{p}} = \pi \left[u + \delta(s-$$

- solving for p, $p = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda\delta+\sigma}u + \frac{\lambda\delta}{\lambda\delta+\sigma}s + \frac{\sigma}{\lambda\delta+\sigma}m + \frac{\lambda(\gamma-1)-\phi\sigma}{\lambda\delta+\sigma}y$
- the *slope* is $0 < \frac{\lambda \delta}{\lambda \delta + \sigma} < 1$, hence p = 0 must intersect the 45°-line too => model equilibrium => model transition paths (to be analysed on Figure 1, p. 1166)

, from MDF

Dornbusch (1976): consistent expectations

• if the expectations formation process in expected depreciation eq., driven by θ , must *correctly* predict – in compliance with **perfect foresight** – the *actual* path of exchange rates, determined by v, it must be true that $\theta = v$

• hence,
$$\theta = v \equiv \pi \left(\frac{\delta + \sigma \theta}{\theta \lambda} + \delta \right)$$

• the **consistent expectations coefficient**, $\tilde{\theta}$, is obtained as the (positive and stable) solution to the quadratic equation implied by the above expression:

$$\widetilde{\theta}(\delta,\lambda,\pi,\sigma) = \pi \frac{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}+\delta}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\pi \frac{\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}+\delta}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{\pi\delta}{\lambda}}$$

- gives the **rate** at which Dornbusch (1976) economy will **converge** to longrun equilibrium along the perfect foresight path: of interest because this assumption
 - is not arbitrary
 - does not involve persistent prediction errors
- for any given *price adjustment* parameter π , convergence will be **faster**
 - the *lower* the interest-rate response of money demand, λ
 - and the *higher*
 - the interest-rate response of goods demand, σ
 - and the price elasticity of demand for domestic output, δ

Dornbusch (1976): graphical and analytical account of *NER overshooting*

- Figure 2, p. 1169, in the original paper to be discussed in class
 - a *two-stage adjustment* which implies exchange rate overshooting: a phenomenon whereby the spot exchange rate temporarily exceeds its long-run value, illustrated by the short-run equilibrium at point *B* in Figure 2
 - to better understand the key model result, let us also derive it **analytically**
 - totally differentiate MDF, noting that p is instantaneously fixed and y is always fixed $\frac{dt}{dm} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} < 0$
 - in the *LR*, an \uparrow in money causes an *equiproportionate* \uparrow in prices and the exchange rate: $d\overline{s} = d\overline{p} = dm$
 - exchange rate: $d\overline{s} = dp = am$ • totally differentiate UIP eq. while holding ι^* constant $d\iota = \theta \left(\underbrace{dm}_{=d\overline{s}} - ds \right)$ and use $d\overline{s} = dm$ in expected depreciation eq. to obtain
 - use this to eliminate dt in *liquidity effect* eq. above and solve for ds $ds = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda\theta}\right) \underbrace{dm}_{1+\frac{1}{\lambda\theta}} > 1 \qquad ds > d\overline{s}$

Dornbusch (1976): version without NER overshooting (I)

- **output** is now assumed **variable** => an *output gap* is thus defined
- the ad-hoc *f-n of the rate of increase of the price of domestic goods* is *replaced* by **two new equations**
 - an equilibrium cond. in the domestic goods market (written in two ways)

$$y = \ln D \equiv u + \delta(s - p) + \gamma y - \sigma i$$
$$y = \mu [u + \delta(s - p) - \sigma i], \qquad \mu \equiv \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} > 0$$

- a price adjustment eq. related to the output gap: ad-hoc, again, but motivated by *Phillips curve* (*wage inflation* – unemployment: *negative*) + *Okun's law* (unemployment – *output gap: positive*): $\dot{p} = \pi(y - \overline{y})$, where \overline{y} denotes the *full-employment* level of output
- LR equilibrium implies $y = \overline{y}$ and $\iota = \iota^*$ so the output eq. becomes $\overline{y} = \mu[u + \delta(\overline{s} - \overline{p}) - \sigma\iota^*]$

Dornbusch (1976): version without NER overshooting (II)

• *subtracting* the LR from the SR equilibrium **output** eq.

$$y - \overline{y} = \mu(\delta + \sigma\theta)(s - \overline{s}) + \mu\delta(\overline{p} - p)$$

• doing the *same* (i.e., rewriting in terms of *deviations* from LR equilibrium) with **money** market equilibrium

$$\phi(y-\overline{y}) + (p-\overline{p}) = \lambda\theta(\overline{s}-s)$$

• *solving* the above simultaneous system for the spot NER and the level of output as a f-n of the existing price level, one obtains

$$y - \overline{y} = -\omega(p - \overline{p}) \qquad \omega \equiv \frac{\mu(\delta + \theta\sigma) + \mu\delta\theta\lambda}{\Delta}, \qquad \Delta \equiv \phi\mu(\delta + \theta\sigma) + \lambda\theta$$

Λ

p

Dornbusch (1976): version without NER overshooting (III)

• substituting the **solution for output** into price adjustment yields

 $\dot{p} = -\pi\omega(p-\overline{p})$

- **consistent expectations** here *require* $\theta = \pi \omega$ (which can be solved for $\tilde{\theta}$)
- in the LR, $d\overline{s} = d\overline{p} = dm$, and considering from the NER solution

$$\frac{ds}{dm} = 1 + \frac{1 - \phi \mu \delta}{\Delta} > 0$$

• thus, whether NER increases *more* or *less* proportionately than the nominal quantity of money depends on the condition $1-\phi u\delta > 0$

$$\frac{\Delta}{\Delta} \gtrless 0$$
 omestic (nominal) interest rate declin

- which determines *too* whether the domestic (nominal) interest rate declines (*liquidity effect* of monetary expansion) **or** increases
- to sum-up, **two assumptions** are *crucial* to producing **NER overshooting**
 - 1. output (AS) y is *fixed* => does not respond to changes in AD (some induced by dm)
 - 2. income elasticity of real money demand, ϕ , is *low enough*, so that even if output (AS) *y* is *variable* and responds to AD (and *dm*), the change in output is not sufficient, from MDF, to prevent the other channel of adjustment, via the interest rate, *l*, from working

Concluding wrap-up

• What have we learnt?

- distinguish and discuss
 - rational expectations and perfect foresight
 - *flexible*-price vs *sticky*-price models of exchange rate *dynamics*
- derive and understand Dornbusch (1976) model
 - equilibrium and transition paths
 - effects of monetary expansion
 - when and why NER overshooting occurs
 - when and why NER overshooting needs not necessarily occur
- analyse the basic set-up of *ad-hoc* dynamic monetary OEMs
- Where we go next: to the *microfounded* DSGEM of exchange rates by Lucas (1982)