Essex EC248-2-SP Lecture 10

Money, the State and the Trend toward Cashlessness

Alexander Mihailov, 20/03/06

Aims and Learning Outcomes

- Aim: understand how recent financial innovation has changed the role of the central bank in nation states in
 - providing money
 - facilitating payments
 - exercising monetary policy

• Learning outcomes

- Consider potential risks to the monopoly of mint
- Discuss the major trends in payment systems
- Analyse traditional monetary control and its limitations
- Look back to monetary standards from a historical perspective

10-3

Plan of Talk

- Introduction
- 1. Money and the State
- 2. The Trend toward Cashlessness
- 3. Financial Innovation and Monetary Control
- 4. Money Standards: Gold, Paper, Electronic
- 5. Wrap-up

Money and the State

- · Recall the origins of
 - **money**: only the *sovereign* had the right to mint coins
 - central banks: originated mostly to help finance the government
- Glasner (1989), "Free Banking and Monetary Reform"
 - competing for providing money: the central bank vs. other banks
 - monopolising the right to currency issue: national central banks
- The state and the central bank
 - monopoly of the mint: the government, or more precisely the monetary authority, still has the monopoly to issue the currency
 - which is the legal tender (i.e. the lawful means of payment and of discharging debt) on the *national* territory
 - hence, the state (the central bank) receives seigniorage
 - an important source of government finance in *developing* economies
 - not so much in *developed* ones

Changing Trends in Payment Systems

- Markose and Loke (2000), "Changing Trends in Payments Systems for Selected G10 and EU Countries 1990-1998", International Corresponding Banking Review Yearbook 2000/2001, April 2000
- transactions **data** in payment systems for 19 developed economies (EU15 and G10, i.e. + US + Canada + Japan + Switzerland)
- 4 major **findings**
 - overall trend toward cashlessness: from cash _ instruments
 - from paper-based noncash processes (checks based noncash processes (EFT in *wholesale* utilising debit and credit cards in retail payme
 - in cash dispensing, from closed-system bankopen-system ATM-based networks
 - from older forms of electronic money such as forms such as smart cards and related reloada

Markose and Loke (2000) - Ta average growth in monetary

> average growth in monetary base (%) 1970-

> > 1998

6.08

4.81

7.29

11.85

14.61

5.65

6.83

20.95

11.91

10.55

9.24

n.a

5.69

18.33

14.76

7.14

2.51

7.19

7.03

Austria

Bel.

Can.

Den.

Fin.

Ger. Greece

Italy

Japan

Lur

Neth.

Port.

Spain

Swit.

UK

USA

Śweden

France

Ireland

1988-

1998

5.09

5.11

4.02

8.20

-0.11

5.32

17.42

12.64

0.43

5.85

2.71

17.26

3.81

1.64

-0.05

5.32

6.76

10-7

n.a

13.83

	Belgium	31.34	23.79	70.9	159.29	8.06	11.49	1238	
	Denmark	9.68	9.82	n.a	n.a	17.21	17.67	742	
sh to noncash payment	Finland	6.36	6.54	121	230.9	25.19	26.07	472	
··· ·· ·· ··· ··· ··· ·· ··· ·· ·· ·· ·	France	15.13	14.34	547.7	1205.9	12.56	16.42	829	
	Germany	27.14	23.28	n.a	1405.5	9.39	8.37	1230	
and giro) to electronic-	Greece	61.78	42.02	n.a	78.5	7.02	9.75	724	
payments and EFTPoS	Ireland	41.06	27.59	33.5	178.1	10.15	11.56	616	
1 5	Italy	14.40	16.07	100.7	486.5	12.73	19.35	1004	
nents)	Luxem-	21.70	18.36	2.7	4.8	n.a	n.a	1244	
-based withdrawals to	bourg								
-Dased withdrawais to	Nether-	29.46	14.83	116	427	8.97	9.29	1337	
	lands								
s credit cards to newer	Portugal	25.90	15.89	39.2	260.3	7.63	11.46	442	
	Spain	19.68	20.77	355	638	7.27	6.3	1145	
lable "purses"	Sweden	9.74	9.74	170	333	9.83	12.10	1224	
- 10-5	UK	5.96	4.52	992	1850	16.66	21.26	473	
10-5									
Table 2: y base	-	 The Trend toward Cashlessness cash payments: two measures (BIS and EMI) 							
8	each in circulation of 0 of CDP								

- cash in circulation as % of GDP
- cash in circulation as % of *narrow money* (M1)
 - · decreased in nearly all countries
 - · except in US, Japan, Italy and Spain
- major **implication**: the growth of the *monetary base*
 - comparing the 1980s with the 1990s
 - all countries (except Denmark and Ireland) in Markose-Loke (2000) sample have experienced a decline in monetary base growth
- decline in the **demand for cash** in *retail* transactions
 - as larger proportions of total expenditure is noncash financed _
 - currency in circulation has declined

Markose and Loke (2000) - Table 1: measures for cash based payments: 1990-1998 volume of ATM

(II)

1998

1603.

633.16

80.92

10900

81.3

transactions

786.3

207.6

39.4

5800

41.7

(millions)

1990

average ratio of

consumption to

(III)

1988-

1998

17.5

6.49

6 18

13.78

9.07

private

1970-

1998

currency22

16.6

7.13

5.10

14.3

8.18

value of cash

holdings per person- USD

608

2003

2811

998

1827

742

1230

1224

1990

(IV)

1998

703

3340

2800

1617

1456

1186

971

537

827

1681

714

997

1152

1222

514

1435

1109

652

10-6

1614

Countries Cash as a

Canada

Switzer-

Austria

Japan

land

USA

percentage of

narrow money

(I)

1998

36.03

34.29

15.65

39.48

29.35

1990

46.08

29.97

32 71

29.57

61.16

Financial Innovations and the Money Supply

- Podolski (1986), "Financial Innovations and the Money Supply"
- "... the present economic environment both increases the inducement and enhances the capacity of financial agents to innovate and thereby circumvent monetary regulation and control. Current macroeconomic policies based on the presumption of our ability to identify and to control the money supply must, in this situation, be reviewed fundamentally, for financial innovation alters unpredictably the relationship between variables, upon whose stability the effectiveness of monetary control depends."

10-9

UK Version of IMF Monetary Control

- UK contended that such monetary base control was inappropriate in a more complex (than in LDCs) financial system
- Instead, DCE could be
 - measured, monitored and influenced at the level of the aggregate *money supply*
 - through the credit counterparts approach, whereby
 - the growth of total bank liabilities, money M3
 - is by accounting identity related to the growth of *total* bank *assets*:
 dM3 = PSBR debt sales to the private sector + bank lending to the private sector + external flows increase in non-deposit liabilities
- The *narrower* aggregate, **M1**, would not have fitted so well in the counterpart approach
 - because M1 is only a fraction of total bank liabilities
 - => changes in M1 could not be so *directly* related to changes in bank assets...
 - ... if money multipliers are *not* stable or predictable

Financial Innovations and Monetary Control

- Goodhart (1986), "Financial Innovation and Monetary Control"
- 1960s: under the direction of J. Polak, an early version of the **monetary approach to BoP** was developed at the IMF
 - this approach depended on there being a *stable* function of the demand for money
 - i.e. on constant or predictable velocity of money
- Main point: the rate of change in MB is given by BoP
 - fluctuations in domestic credit expansion (DCE), i.e. in MB, relative to the
 - demand for money, MD, determined by nominal incomes and interest rates,
 - would lead to *inflows* or *outflows* over the exchanges at the *pegged* exchange rate that would restore equilibrium
- Hence, IMF sought to apply DCE limits to the *monetary base*

10-10

UK Money Demand (In)Stability

- Hacche (1974), The Demand for Money in the United Kingdom: Experience since 1971"
 - M3 demand in UK is unstable since the early 1970s!
- **Deregulation** in the UK
 - *credit ceilings* removed: 1971
 - exchange controls abandoned: 1979
- Johnston (1984), "The Demand for Non-Interest Bearing Money in the United Kingdom"
 - M0, demand for currency in UK remains stable!



- Securitisation: banks, after 1982

10-13

Recent Evolution of Banking and Money

- · entry of nonbank financial intermediaries into banking business
- · entry of banks into nonbank financial services
- driving forces
 - competition
 - disintermediation
 - deregulation
 - lower profitability and higher risk => off-balance sheet activities
 - derivative instruments
 - financial guarantees
 - $-\,$ advances in telecommunications technology => EFTPoS and related cards

Financial Innovation and Monetarism

- The dividing line of what constitutes **money** once one has gone beyond currency is becoming fuzzier
- Given increasing competition, the elasticity of asset holders of more or less closer substitutes to "money" to minor changes in *relative* interest rates is also increasing
- The relationship to money holdings to nominal income, i.e. velocity, and to the *general* level of interest rates will therefore become less stable
- The monetary authorities will thus obtain *less information* from monitoring the growth of monetary aggregates, as a guide to future trends in inflation (and output)
- Finally, central banks will have a *diminishing ability to control* monetary aggregates by varying the short-term interest rate, their traditional instrument
- To sum-up, **intermediate monetary targets**, or *monetarism*, more generally as predicated on certain historical statistical regularities are now gone...

10-15

Monetary Standards: Gold, Paper, EFT, ...

- Monetary standards: 3 (or 4) main stages in the history of money since the emergence of nation states
 - *gold* standard
 - paper standard: convertibility into gold
 - paper standard: fiat money
 - "electronic standard": the trend toward cashlessness
- What about the future of money? ...

Concluding Wrap-Up

• What have we learnt?

- What is monopoly of mint and how it may be threatened
- Which the major trends in payments are
- Why financial innovation has limited monetary control
- How monetary standards have evolved historically
- Where you may go next: to developing further your understanding of money, banking, finance and monetary policy