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#### Abstract

For a positive integer $n$, let G be $K_{n}$ if $n$ is odd and $K_{n}$ less a one-factor if $n$ is even. In this paper it is shown that, for non-negative integers $p, q$ and $r$, there is a decomposition of $G$ into $p 4$-cycles, $q$ 6 -cycles and $r$-cycles if $4 p+6 q+8 r=|E(G)|, q=0$ if $n<6$, and $r=0$ if $n<8$.


## 1 Introduction

Is it possible to decompose $K_{n}$ ( $n$ odd) or $K_{n}-I_{n}$ ( $n$ even, $I_{n}$ is a one-factor of $K_{n}$ ) into $t$ cycles of lengths $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}$ ? Obvious necessary conditions for finding these cycle decompositions are that each cycle length must be between 3 and $n$ and the sum of the cycle lengths must equal the number of edges in the graph being decomposed. That these simple conditions are sufficient was conjectured by Alspach [3] in 1981. To date, only a few special cases have been solved, mostly where each $m_{i}$ must take one of a restricted

[^0]number of values $[1,2,6,8]$. In particular, we note that the case where all the cycles have the same length has recently been completely solved by Alspach and Gavlas [4] and Šajna [11]. We also note that Rosa [10] has proved that the conjecture is true for $n \leq 10$, and Balister [5] has shown that the conjecture is true if the cycle lengths are bounded by some linear function of $n$ and $n$ is sufficiently large.

In this paper, we solve the case where each cycle has length 4,6 or 8 ; for the proof we introduce an innovative extension technique for finding cycle decompositions of $K_{n}\left(-I_{n}\right)$ from decompositions of $K_{m}, m<n$.

Theorem 1 Let $n$ be a positive integer. Let $p, q$ and $r$ be non-negative integers. Then $K_{n}$ ( $n$ odd) or $K_{n}-I_{n}$ ( $n$ even) can be decomposed into $p$ 4-cycles, q 6-cycles and r 8-cycles if and only if

1. $4 p+6 q+8 r=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left|E\left(K_{n}\right)\right| \text { if } n \text { is odd, } \\ \left|E\left(K_{n}-I_{n}\right)\right| \text { if } n \text { is even, and }\end{array}\right.$
2. the cycles all have length at most $n$.

Our novel extension technique is described in the next section. The proof of Theorem 1 is in the final section.

Definitions and notation. An edge joining $u$ and $v$ is denoted $(u, v)$. A path of length $k-1$ is denoted $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ where $v_{i}$ is adjacent to $v_{i+1}, 1 \leq$ $i \leq k-1$, but a path of length zero - that is, a single vertex-will be denoted simply $v_{1}$ rather than $\left(v_{1}\right)$. A $k$-cycle is denoted $\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right]$, where $v_{i}$ is adjacent to $v_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq k-1$, and $v_{1}$ is adjacent to $v_{k}$. A path-graph is a collection of vertex-disjoint paths and is described by listing the paths. A path-graph containing only paths of lengths zero or one is a matching.

## 2 An extension technique

In this section we introduce a technique that we can use to obtain cycle decompositions of $K_{n}\left(-I_{n}\right)$ from cycle decompositions of $K_{m}\left(-I_{m}\right)$ when $m<n$.

First we define a different type of decomposition. Let $n, s$ and $t$ be non-negative integers. An $(s, t)$-decomposition of $K_{n}$ may be either even or odd. An odd ( $s, t$ )-decomposition contains the following collection of subgraphs:

- path-graphs $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}$, and
- cycles $C_{s+1}, \ldots, C_{s+t}$;
with the following properties:
- their edge-sets partition the edge-set of $K_{n}$, and
- each vertex is in precisely $s$ of the subgraphs $P_{1}, \ldots P_{s}, C_{s+1}, \ldots, C_{s+t}$.

An even $(s, t)$-decomposition of $K_{n}$ is the same as an odd $(s, t)$-decomposition except that it also contains a matching $P_{0}$ which contains every vertex.

Example 1. We display an odd (4, 2)-decomposition of $K_{7}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1} & =(1,5,2,4),(3,7) \\
P_{2} & =(1,6,2,7) \\
P_{3} & =(3,6,5), 2 \\
P_{4} & =(4,7,5), 6 \\
C_{5} & =[1,3,5,4,6,7] \\
C_{6} & =[1,2,3,4]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we introduce the idea of extending a decomposition of $K_{m}$ to a decomposition of $K_{n}$. Let $P_{1}, \ldots P_{s}, C_{s+1}, \ldots, C_{s+t}$ be an odd $(s, t)$ decomposition of $K_{m}$. For $n>m, n$ odd, identify the vertices of $K_{m}$ with $m$ of the vertices of $K_{n}$. If $K_{n}$ has a decompostion into cycles $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s+t}$ such that, for $1 \leq i \leq s, C_{i}$ is a supergraph of $P_{i}$, then we call this decomposition an extension of the decomposition of $K_{m}$. Similarly, for $n>m$, $n$ even, an even ( $s, t$ )-decompostion of $K_{m}, P_{0}, \ldots P_{s}, C_{s+1}, \ldots, C_{s+t}$, can be extended to a decomposition of $K_{n}$ less a one-factor $I_{n}$ into cycles $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s+t}$ if we have the additional property that $I_{n}$ is a supergraph of $P_{0}$.

Theorem 2 Let $m, n, s$ and $t$ be non-negative integers with $m<n$ and $s=\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor$. Let $D=\left(P_{0},\right) P_{1}, \ldots P_{s}, C_{s+1}, \ldots, C_{s+t}$ be an $(s, t)$ decomposition of $K_{m}$ that is even or odd as the parity of $n$.

Then $D$ can be extended to a decomposition of $K_{n}$ (less a one-factor $I_{n}$ if $n$ is even) into cycles $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s+t}$ if and only if,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq s, \quad n-m \geq\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|-\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right|, \text { and, }  \tag{1}\\
& \text { if } n \text { is even, } \quad\left|E\left(P_{0}\right)\right| \geq m-n / 2 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that since each vertex of $V\left(K_{n} \backslash K_{m}\right)$ must be in $s$ of the cycles, it must be in each $C_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s$, since the other cycles are subgraphs of $K_{m}$. Therefore $C_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s$, has length $\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|+n-m$.

Before we prove Theorem 2, let us see how it can be used. We consider four examples.

Example 2. Let $D$ be the (4,2)-decomposition of $K_{7}$ shown in Example 1. Apply Theorem 2 with $n=9, m=7, s=4$ and $t=2$. Checking that (1) is satisfied is easy if we notice that $\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|-\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right|$ is equal to the number of paths in $P_{i}$ (remember that we count an isolated vertex as a path). By Theorem 2, there exists a cycle decomposition $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{6}$ of $K_{9}$ where $C_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$ is a supergraph of $P_{i}$. As $C_{i}$ has length $\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|+n-$ $m, C_{1}$ will be an 8 -cycle and $C_{2}, C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ will be 6 -cycles. We display
an example of a cycle decomposition obtained by extending $D$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} & =[1,5,2,4,9,7,3,8] \\
C_{2} & =[1,6,2,7,8,9] \\
C_{3} & =[2,9,3,6,5,8] \\
C_{4} & =[4,7,5,9,6,8] \\
C_{5} & =[1,3,5,4,6,7] \\
C_{6} & =[1,2,3,4] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following three examples, we begin with a cycle decomposition of $K_{m}\left(-I_{m}\right)$. By making slight changes to this decomposition-we take the edges from one of the cycles, or from the one-factor $I_{m}$, and use them to create path-graphs - we obtain an $(s, t)$-decomposition of $K_{m}$. Then we apply Theorem 2 to obtain a cycle decomposition of $K_{n}$ for some $n>m$. This method of obtaining a cycle decomposition of a complete graph from a cycle decomposition of a smaller complete graph will help us to give an inductive proof of Theorem 1 in the final section.

Example 3. Let $\Delta$ be a decomposition of $K_{10}$ into $p 4$-cycles, $q 6$-cycles and $r$ 8-cycles and a one-factor $I_{10}$ where the vertices are labelled so that

$$
I_{10}=(1,2),(3,4),(5,6),(7,8),(9,10) .
$$

Label the cycles $C_{7} \ldots, C_{6+p+q+r}$ and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1} & =(1,2),(3,4), 5 \\
P_{2} & =(5,6), 7 \\
P_{3} & =(7,8), 9 \\
P_{4} & =(9,10), 1 \\
P_{5} & =2,3,4 \\
P_{6} & =6,8,10 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $D=P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}, C_{7}, \ldots, C_{p+q+r}$ and notice that it is a decomposition of $K_{10}$. As the cycles $C_{7}, \ldots, C_{6+p+q+r}$ form a decomposition of $K_{10}-I_{10}$, each vertex $v \in V\left(K_{10}\right)$ will be in four of them (consider degrees). Each vertex is also in two of the path-graphs displayed above. Thus each vertex is in 6 of the graphs of $D$, and $D$ is an odd $(6, p+q+r)$-decomposition of $K_{10}$. Apply Theorem 2 with $n=13, m=10, s=6$ and $t=p+q+r$ (it is easy to check that (1) is satisfied). The decomposition of $K_{13}$ obtained contains all the cycles of $D$ and also cycles $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{6}$ that are supergraphs of the path-graphs $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$. Thus $C_{1}$ has length 8 and $C_{i}, 2 \leq i \leq 6$, has length 6 , and the decomposition of $K_{13}$ contains $p 4$-cycles, $q+56$-cycles and $r+18$-cycles.

Hence, if we require a decomposition of $K_{13}$ into $p^{\prime} 4$-cycles, $q^{\prime} 6$-cycles and $r^{\prime} 8$-cycles, we can obtain it from a decomposition of $K_{10}$ into $p=p^{\prime}$

4-cycles, $q=q^{\prime}-56$-cycles and $r=r^{\prime}-18$-cycles. Of course, we require that $q^{\prime} \geq 5$ and $r^{\prime} \geq 1$ so that $p, q$ and $r$ are non-negative.

Example 4. Let $m \equiv 1 \bmod 4, m \geq 9$. Suppose that we have a decomposition $\Delta$ of $K_{m}$ into $p 4$-cycles, $q 6$-cycles and $r 8$-cycles, where $q \geq 1$. We are going to use this to find a decomposition of $K_{m+4}$ so let $n=m+4$ and $s=(n-1) / 2$. Let $D$ be a decomposition of $K_{m}$ that contains all the cycles of $\Delta$ except one of the 6 -cycles which we may assume is $C=[1,2,3,4,5,6]$. Label the other cycles $C_{s+1}, \ldots, C_{s+p+q+r-1} . D$ also contains $s$ path-graphs that contain the edges of $C$ and also isolated vertices. If $m=9$, then $s=6$ and the path-graphs are

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1} & =(1,2), 6,7 \\
P_{2} & =(2,3), 1,7 \\
P_{3} & =(3,4), 2,8 \\
P_{4} & =(4,5), 3,8 \\
P_{5} & =(5,6), 4,9 \\
P_{6} & =(1,6), 5,9 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $m=13$, then there are two further path-graphs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{7}=10,11,12,13 \\
& P_{8}=10,11,12,13 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $m \geq 17$, there are further path-graphs $P_{9}, \ldots, P_{s}$, where, for $1 \leq i \leq$ $(s-8) / 2$,

$$
P_{7+2 i}=P_{8+2 i}=4 i+10,4 i+11,4 i+12,4 i+13 .
$$

As the cycles of $D$ form a decomposition of $K_{m}-C, v \in V\left(K_{m}\right) \backslash C$ will be in $s-2$ of them; $v$ is also in 2 of the path-graphs. If $v \in C$, then it is in only $s-3$ of the cycles of $D$, but is in 3 of the path-graphs. As every vertex is in $s$ of the graphs of $D$, it is an odd $(s, p+q+r-1)$ decomposition of $K_{m}$. Use $D$ to apply Theorem 2 with $n, m$ and $s$ as defined and $t=p+q+r-1$. The decomposition of $K_{n}$ obtained contains all the cycles of $D$ and also cycles $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s}$ that are supergraphs of the path-graphs $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}$, and $C_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s$, has length $\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|+n-m=8$. The decomposition of $K_{n}$ that is obtained contains $p 4$-cycles, $q-16$ cycles and $r+s 8$-cycles. Thus we can obtain a decomposition of $K_{n}, n \equiv$ $1 \bmod 4, n \geq 13$, into $p^{\prime} 4$-cycles, $q^{\prime} 6$-cycles and $r^{\prime} 8$-cycles from a cycle decomposition of $K_{n-4}$ whenever $r^{\prime} \geq s$.

Example 5. An example for complete graphs of even order. Let $\Delta$ be a decomposition of $K_{m}-I_{m}, m \geq 8$ even, into $p 4$-cycles, $q 6$-cycles and $r$ 8 -cycles, where $p \geq 1$. We are going to find a decomposition of $K_{m+4}$ so let $n=m+4, s=(n-2) / 2$ and $t=p+q+r-1$. Let one of the 4 -cycles be
$C=[1,2,3,4]$. Let $D$ be a decomposition of $K_{m}$ that contains the cycles of $\Delta-C$ (labelled $C_{s+1}, \ldots, C_{s+t}$ ), a matching $P_{0}=I_{m}$ and $s$ path-graphs that contain the edges of $C$. If $m=8$, the path-graphs are

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1} & =(1,2), 5,6 \\
P_{2} & =(2,3), 5,6 \\
P_{3} & =(3,4), 7,8 \\
P_{4} & =(1,4), 7,8 \\
P_{5} & =1,2,3,4
\end{aligned}
$$

If $m=10$, then $P_{2}, \ldots, P_{5}$ are as above and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1} & =(1,2), 9,10 \\
P_{6} & =5,6,9,10
\end{aligned}
$$

For $m \geq 12$, let $P_{1}=(1,2), m-1, m, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{5}$ be as above and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{6} & =5,6,9,10 \\
P_{7} & =9,10,11,12 \\
P_{8} & =11,12,13,14 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
P_{s} & =m-3, m-2, m-1, m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $D$ is an even $(s, t)$-decomposition of $K_{m}$ (it is easy to check that every vertex is in $P_{0}$ and $s$ of the other graphs in $D$ ). Thus from $D$, a cycle decomposition of $K_{n}-I_{n}$ is obtained by applying Theorem 2 with $n, m, s$ and $t$ as defined. The decomposition of $K_{n}-I_{n}$ obtained contains cycles $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s}$ of length, for $1 \leq i \leq s,\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|+n-m=8$. Therefore it contains $p-14$-cycles, $q 6$-cycles and $r+s 8$-cycles, and we note that we can obtain a decomposition of $K_{n}-I_{n}, n \geq 12$ even, into $p^{\prime} 4$-cycles, $q^{\prime} 6$-cycles and $r^{\prime} 8$-cycles from a cycle decomposition of $K_{n-4}-I_{n-4}$ whenever $r \geq s$.

Proof of Theorem 2: Necessity: for $1 \leq i \leq s, C_{i}$ contains the edges of $P_{i}$ plus at most $2(n-m)$ edges from $E\left(K_{n}\right) \backslash E\left(K_{m}\right)$. As it has length $\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|+n-m$, we have

$$
\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right|+2(n-m) \geq\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|+n-m
$$

Rearranging, (1) is obtained. Similarly, $I_{n}$ contains the edges of $P_{0}$ plus at most $n-m$ edges from $E\left(K_{n}\right) \backslash E\left(K_{m}\right)$. As $I_{n}$ has $n / 2$ edges,

$$
\left|E\left(P_{0}\right)\right|+n-m \geq n / 2
$$

Rearranging, (2) is obtained.
Sufficiency: to simplify the presentation we will prove only the (slightly trickier) case where $n$ is even. Thus $s=(n-2) / 2$. Let the vertices of $K_{m}$ be $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$.

First consider the case $m=n-1$. From (1) and (2) we find that, for $1 \leq i \leq s$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right| & \geq\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|-1, \text { and } \\
\left|E\left(P_{0}\right)\right| & \geq n / 2-1
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, we must have equality in each case since $P_{0}$ is a matching on $n-1$ vertices and, for $1 \leq i \leq s, P_{i}$ is acyclic. Thus each $P_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s$, must be a single path and $P_{0}$ contains $n / 2-1$ independent edges and an isolated vertex. Each vertex has degree $n-2$ in $K_{n-1}$, is in $s=(n-2) / 2$ of the subgraphs $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}, C_{s+1}, \ldots, C_{s+t}$, and has degree at most 2 in each of these subgraphs. Thus the vertex that has degree 0 in $P_{0}$ must have degree 2 in each of the other subgraphs that contain it, and each vertex of degree 1 in $P_{0}$, must have degree 1 in one of the other subgraphs that contain it and degree 2 in the rest; that is, it must be the endvertex of precisely one of the paths $P_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s$. Therefore we obtain the cycle decomposition of $K_{n}$ from $D$, the ( $s, t$ )-decomposition of $K_{n-1}$, by adding edges $\left(v_{j}, v_{n}\right), 1 \leq j \leq n-1$, to the subgraphs in the following way. If $v_{j}$ is an endvertex in $P_{i}$, then the new edge $\left(v_{j}, v_{n}\right)$ is placed in the subgraph $P_{i}$. Hence $P_{i}$ becomes a cycle of length $\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|+1$. Finally, if $v_{j}$ is the isolated vertex in $P_{0}$, then $\left(v_{j}, v_{n}\right)$ is the additional edge required to form the onefactor $I_{n}$.

Now we show that if $m<n-1$, then $D$ can be extended to $D^{\prime}$, an even ( $s, t$ )-decomposition of $K_{m+1}$, so that (1) and (2) are satisfied with $m$ replaced by $m+1$. By repeating this argument a finite number of times an even $(s, t)$-decomposition of $K_{n-1}$ that satisfies (1) and (2) with $m$ replaced by $n-1$ can be found.

To obtain $D^{\prime}$, a new vertex $v_{m+1}$ is added to $K_{m}$. It must be joined to each vertex of $K_{m}$ by one edge and each of these $m$ additional edges must be added to exactly one of the path-graphs or the matching of $D$. Note that as $s=\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor$ we require that $v_{m+1}$ is in all $s$ of the path-graphs, so it must be added as an isolated vertex to any path-graph that has been given no new edges.

We need a way to decide which subgraph each new edge should be placed in. Construct a bipartite multigraph $B$ with vertex sets $\left\{P_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, P_{s}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{m}^{\prime}\right\}$. For $1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq m$, if $v_{j} \in P_{i}$, then join $P_{i}^{\prime}$ to $v_{j}^{\prime}$ by $2-d_{P_{i}}\left(v_{j}\right)$ edges. Also join $P_{0}^{\prime}$ to $v_{j}$ by $1-d_{P_{0}}\left(v_{j}\right)$ edges. In fact, we think of $B$ as being constructed as follows: for $1 \leq i \leq s$, join $P_{i}^{\prime}$ to $v_{j}^{\prime}$ by two edges if $v_{j} \in P_{i}$ and join $P_{0}^{\prime}$ to $v_{j}$ by one edge; then for each edge ( $v_{j}, v_{k}$ ) in $P_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq s$, delete the edges $\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, v_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, v_{k}^{\prime}\right)$.

If $v_{j}$ is in $x$ of the cycles in $D$, then it is in $s-x$ of the path-graphs; it is also in the matching $P_{0}$. As it is incident with $2 x$ edges in the cycles, it is incident with $m-1-2 x$ edges in the matching and path-graphs. When $B$ is constructed, we begin by placing $2(s-x)+1$ edges at $v_{j}^{\prime}$. For $0 \leq i \leq s$, for each edge incident at $v_{j}$ in $P_{i}$, we delete an edge $\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, v_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ in $B$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{B}\left(v_{j}^{\prime}\right)=2(s-x)+1-(m-1-2 x)=n-m . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When we construct $B$, we first place $2\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|$ edges at $P_{i}^{\prime}, 1 \leq i \leq s$. Then for each edge $\left(v_{j}, v_{k}\right)$ in $P_{i}$, we delete two of these edges: $\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, v_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, v_{k}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, by (1), for $1 \leq i \leq s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{B}\left(P_{i}^{\prime}\right)=2\left(\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|-\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right|\right) \leq 2(n-m) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using a similar argument, by (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{B}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\left|V\left(P_{0}\right)\right|-\left|E\left(P_{0}\right)\right| \leq n-m \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need the following: a set $\mathcal{F}$ of sets is a laminar set if, for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}$, either $X \subseteq Y$, or $Y \subseteq X$ or $X \cap Y=\emptyset$; we say $x \approx y$ if $\lfloor y\rfloor \leq x \leq\lceil y\rceil$ (note that the relation is not symmetric).

Lemma 3 [9] If $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are laminar sets of subsets of a finite set $M$ and $h$ is a positive integer, then there exists a set $L \subseteq M$ such that

$$
|L \cap X| \approx|X| / h \text { for every } X \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G} .
$$

We construct two laminar sets $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ which contain subsets of $E(B)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ contain sets $P_{0}^{*}, \ldots, P_{s}^{*}$, where $P_{i}^{*}, 0 \leq i \leq s$, contains the set of all edges incident with $P_{i}^{\prime}$ in $B$. Also if $v_{j_{1}}$ and $v_{j_{2}}$ are endvertices of a path in $P_{i}$, then let $\left\{\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, v_{j_{1}}^{\prime}\right),\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, v_{j_{2}}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ be a set in $\mathcal{F}$ (call these endvertex-sets). Let $\mathcal{G}$ contain sets $v_{1}^{*}, \ldots, v_{m}^{*}$, where $v_{j}^{*}, 1 \leq j \leq m$, contains the set of all edges incident with $v_{j}^{\prime}$ in $B$.

Apply Lemma 3 with $M=E(B)$ and $h=n-m$ to obtain a set of edges $L$ that, by (3), (4) and (5), contains exactly one edge incident with $v_{j}^{\prime}, 1 \leq j \leq m$, at most two edges incident with $P_{i}^{\prime}, 1 \leq i \leq s$, and at most one edge incident with $P_{0}$. Also $L$ contains at most one edge from each endvertex-set.

Now we extend $D$ to $D^{\prime}$. For $1 \leq j \leq n$, if $\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, v_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is in $L$, then $\left(v_{m+1}, v_{j}\right)$ is placed in $P_{i}$. Then $v_{m+1}$ is added as an isolated vertex to any $P_{i}$ to which no new edges have been added. Since $L$ contains exactly one edge incident with each $v_{j}$, each new edge is placed in exactly one subgraph. There is only an edge $\left(P_{i}^{\prime}, v_{j}^{\prime}\right), 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq m$, in $B$ if $v_{j}$ has degree less than 2 , so after the new edges are added $v_{j}$ has degree at most 2 in $P_{i}$. Since $L$ contains at most two edges incident with $P_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s$, $v_{m+1}$ has degree at most 2 in each $P_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s$. As $L$ contains at most one edge from each endvertex-set, $v_{m+1}$ cannot have been joined to both ends of a path in $P_{i}$ (thus creating a cycle). Therefore $P_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s$, is still a path-graph. By a similar argument, $P_{0}$ is still a matching.

We must check that (1) and (2) remain satisfied with $m$ replaced by $m+$ 1. First (1): note that $\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|$ increases by one (as the new vertex is adjoined to every path-graph) and $E\left(P_{i}\right)$ increases by at most two. If initially we have

$$
n-m-2 \geq\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|-\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right|
$$

then clearly (1) remains satisfied. If

$$
n-m-1=\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|-\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right|,
$$

then, arguing as for $(4), d_{B}\left(P_{i}^{\prime}\right)=2\left(\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|-\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right|\right)=2(n-m)-2 \geq$ $n-m$ (since $n-m \geq 2$ ). So $L$ contains at least one edge incident with $P_{i}^{\prime}$ and at least one edge is added to $P_{i}$ and (1) remains satisfied. If

$$
n-m=\left|V\left(P_{i}\right)\right|-\left|E\left(P_{i}\right)\right|,
$$

then $d_{B}\left(P_{i}^{\prime}\right)=2(n-m)$, and $L$ contains two edges incident with $P_{i}^{\prime}$ and hence two edges are added to $P_{i}$ and (1) remains satisfied.

Finally, if initially we have

$$
\left|E\left(P_{0}\right)\right|-1 \geq m-n / 2,
$$

then (2) remains satisfied. If

$$
\left|E\left(P_{0}\right)\right|=m-n / 2
$$

then $d_{B}\left(P_{0}^{\prime}\right)=n-m$, and $L$ contains an edge incident with $P_{0}^{\prime}$ and hence an edge is added to $P_{0}$ and (2) remains satisfied.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

The necessity of the conditions is clear.
Sufficiency: as we remarked in the Introduction, all possible cycle decompositions of $K_{n}$ have been found for $n \leq 10$ [10]. For $n>10$, we assume that cycle decompositions for $K_{n^{\prime}}, n^{\prime}<n$, are known. Then we use two techniques to find decompositions of $K_{n}$. Some cases are found using Theorem 2 to extend a decomposition of $K_{n^{\prime}}, n^{\prime}<n$. The second method is to consider $K_{n}$ as the union of several edge-disjoint subgraphs. Each cycle required in the decomposition of $K_{n}$ is assigned to one of the subgraphs in such a way that the total number of edges in the cycles assigned to a subgraph is equal to the number of edges in that subgraph. Thus the problem of decomposing $K_{n}$ becomes the problem of decomposing its subgraphs. If a subgraph is a smaller complete graph, then we can assume the decomposition exists, and if it is a complete bipartite graph, we can use the following result of Chou, Fu and Huang.

Theorem 4 [7] The complete bipartite graph $K_{m, n}$ can be decomposed into $p$ 4-cycles, $q 6$-cycles and $r 8$-cycles if and only if

1. $m$ and $n$ are even,
2. no cycle has length greater than $2 \min \{m, n\}$,
3. $m n=4 p+6 q+8 r$, and

## 4. if $m=n=4$, then $r \neq 1$.

First suppose that $n$ is odd. Note that if $n \equiv 3 \bmod 4, K_{n}$ has an odd number of edges and cannot have a decompisition into cycles of even length.

Case 1. $n=13$. We require a decomposition of $K_{13}$ into $p 4$-cycles, $q$ 6 -cycles and $r 8$-cycles. Note that

$$
4 p+6 q+8 r=\mid E\left(K_{13} \mid=78\right.
$$

Thus $q$ is odd since $78 \not \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. In Section 2 , we saw that we could find a decomposition of $K_{13}$ by extending a decomposition of $K_{9}$ if $r \geq 6$ (Example 4) or by extending a decomposition of $K_{10}$ if $q \geq 5$ (Example 3). We may now assume that $q \in\{1,3\}$ and $r \leq 5$, which implies that $4 p \geq$ $78-(3 \times 6)-(5 \times 8)=20$, that is, $p \geq 5$.

Consider $K_{13}$ as the union of $K_{5}, K_{9}$ and $K_{8,4}$ where $K_{5}$ is defined on the vertex set $\{1, \ldots, 5\}, K_{9}$ on the vertex set $\{5, \ldots, 13\}$, and $K_{4,8}$ on the vertex sets $\{1, \ldots, 4\}$ and $\{6, \ldots, 13\}$. Let $C=[1,6,2,7]$. Let $H_{1}=K_{5} \cup C$ and $H_{2}=K_{8,4}-C$. Note that $H_{1}$ is the union of two 4 -cycles and a 6 -cycle. $K_{13}$ is the union of $K_{9}, H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. For the remaining cases, we assign the cycles required in the decomposition of $K_{13}$ to these three subgraphs. (A decomposition of $H_{2}$ is found by finding a decomposition of $K_{8,4}$ that contains, as well as the required cycles, a further 4-cycle which can be labelled $[1,6,2,7]$ and discarded.) Two 4 -cycles and a 6 -cycle are assigned to $H_{1}$. There are at most two further 6 -cycles which are assigned to $K_{9}$. Up to three 8 -cycles are assigned to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$; any remaining 8-cycles (there are at most two more) are assigned to $K_{9}$. This only leaves some 4 -cycles to be assigned, and clearly the number of edges not accounted for in $H_{2}$ and $K_{9}$ is, in both cases, positive and equal to $0 \bmod 4$.

Case 2. $n=17$. We require a decomposition of $K_{17}$ into $p 4$-cycles, $q$ 6 -cycles and $r$-cycles. Note that

$$
4 p+6 q+8 r=\left|E\left(K_{17}\right)\right|=136
$$

If $r \geq(n-1) / 2=8$, then, as seen in Example 4, we can apply Theorem 2 to obtain the decomposition of $K_{17}$ from a decomposition of $K_{13}$.

For the remaining cases, let $K_{17}=K_{9} \cup K_{9} \cup K_{8,8}$. We will assign the required cycles to these three subgraphs. Suppose that $4 p+8 r \geq 64$. Then we can assign all of the 8 -cycles (since $r<8$ ) and some 4 -cycles to $K_{8,8}$ so that the assigned cycles have precisely 64 edges. There remain to be assigned some 4 -cycles and 6 -cycles which have a total of 72 edges. Thus either $4 p \geq 36$ or $6 q \geq 36$ and we can assign cycles all of the same length to a $K_{9}$. We assign the remaining cycles to the other $K_{9}$.

If $4 p+8 r<64$, then $6 q>136-64=72$. We can assign six 6 -cycles to each $K_{9}$ and the remaining cycles to $K_{8,8}$.

Case 3. $n \geq 21$ odd. We require a decomposition of $K_{n}$ into $p 4$-cycles, $q$ 6 -cycles and $r$-cycles. If $r \geq(n-1) / 2$, then, as seen in Example 4, we can apply Theorem 2 to obtain the decomposition of $K_{n}$ from a decomposition of $K_{n-4}$. Otherwise $r<(n-1) / 2$. Let $K_{n}=K_{n-12} \cup K_{13} \cup K_{n-13,12}$. We assign the required cycles to these subgraphs.

Suppose that $4 p+8 r \geq\left|E\left(K_{n-13,12}\right)\right|$. Then we can assign all of the 8 -cycles and some 4 -cycles to $K_{n-13,12}$ (since $8 r<4(n-1)<12(n-13)$ for $n \geq 21$ ). We are left with 4 -cycles and 6 -cycles to assign to $K_{n-12}$ and $K_{13}$. We can assign cycles all of the same length to the smaller of these graphs (which is either $K_{9}$ or $K_{13}$ —both have a number of edges equal to $0 \bmod 4$ and $0 \bmod 6)$ and the remaining cycles to the other.

If $4 p+8 r<\left|E\left(K_{n-13,12}\right)\right|$, then $6 q \geq\left|E\left(K_{n-12}\right)\right|+\left|E\left(K_{13}\right)\right|$. We cannot simply assign 6 -cycles to $K_{n-12}$ and $K_{13}$ however, since $\left|E\left(K_{n-12}\right)\right|$ is not equal to $0 \bmod 6$ for all $n \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. If $6 q \geq\left|E\left(K_{n-13,12}\right)\right|+\left|E\left(K_{13}\right)\right|$, then we can assign 6 -cycles to $K_{n-13,12}$ and $K_{13}$ and any remaining cycles to $K_{n-12}$. If $6 q<\left|E\left(K_{n-13,12}\right)\right|+\left|E\left(K_{13}\right)\right|$, then $4 p+8 r>\left|E\left(K_{n-12}\right)\right|>16$ (as $n \geq 21$ ). One of the following must be true.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E\left(K_{n-12}\right)\right| & \equiv 0 \bmod 6 \\
\left|E\left(K_{n-12}\right)\right|+8 & \equiv 0 \bmod 6 \\
\left|E\left(K_{n-12}\right)\right|+16 & \equiv 0 \bmod 6
\end{aligned}
$$

We assign 6 -cycles to $K_{13}$ and to $K_{n-12}$, except that to $K_{n-12}$ we also assign 4 -cycles and 8 -cycles with a total of 8 or 16 edges if the number of edges in $K_{n-12}$ is $2 \bmod 6$ or $4 \bmod 6$, respectively. The remaining edges are assigned to $K_{n-13,12}$.

Case 4. $n=12$. We require a decomposition of $K_{12}-I_{12}$ into $p 4$-cycles, $q$ 6 -cycles and $r$-cycles. Then

$$
4 p+6 q+8 r=\left|E\left(K_{12}-I_{12}\right)\right|=60
$$

and so $q$ is even. In Example 5, we saw that if $r \geq(n-2) / 2=5$, we can use Theorem 2 to extend a decomposition of $K_{8}$ to obtain the required cycle decomposition of $K_{12}-I_{12}$. Otherwise let $K_{12}-I_{12}=\left(K_{6}-I_{6}\right) \cup\left(K_{6}-\right.$ $\left.I_{6}\right) \cup K_{6,6}$. We will assign the required cycles to these subgraphs.

Note that $4 p+6 q \geq 60-32=28$ (since $r \leq 4$ ). If $q=0$, then $p \geq 7$ and we can assign 4 -cycles to each $K_{6}$. If $q=2$, we assign the two 6 -cycles to one $K_{6}$ and 4 -cycles to the other $K_{6}$. If $q \geq 4$, we assign 6 -cycles to each $K_{6}$. In each case the remaining cycles are assigned to $K_{6,6}$.

Case 5. $n \geq 14$ even. We require a decomposition of $K_{n}-I_{n}$ into $p$ 4 -cycles, $q 6$-cycles and $r$-cycles. In Example 5, we saw that if $r \geq$ $(n-2) / 2$, we can use Theorem 2 to extend a decomposition of $K_{n-4}$ to find the required cycle decomposition of $K_{n}-I_{n}$. Otherwise let $K_{n}-I_{n}=$ $\left(K_{6}-I_{6}\right) \cup\left(K_{n-6}-I_{n-6}\right) \cup K_{6, n-6}$. We will assign the required cycles to these subgraphs.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 p+6 q & =\left|E\left(K_{n}-I_{n}\right)\right|-8 r \\
& \geq n(n-2) / 2-4(n-4) \\
& =\left(n^{2}-10 n+32\right) / 2 \\
& \geq 44,
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \geq 14$. Therefore $4 p \geq 22$ or $6 q \geq 22$ and we can assign cycles all of length 4 or all of length 6 to $K_{6}-I_{6}$. Note that the number of edges in $K_{6, n-6}$ is $0 \bmod 12$. If $q \geq n-6$, we assign only 6 -cycles to $K_{6, n-6}$. Otherwise we assign all the 6 -cycles to $K_{6, n-6}$ if $q$ is even, or all but one of them if $q$ is odd. Then the number of remaining edges is also $0 \bmod 12$ so we can assign as many 4 -cycles as necessary. All remaining cycles are assigned to $K_{n-6}$.
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